

Publishing and research ethics as a wicked problem

Dr Jane Jacobs, Director Office Research Ethics and Integrity
Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane





The Academic Setting


- Cultural narrative on publication ethics, lead from the front
- Guidelines crafted around responsible research practices
- Online resources to accommodate different media usage
- Post publication responsibilities – and why it matters
- Publication and authorship training
- Encouraging use of originality software prior to publication
- Bespoke training post-integrity events
- Monitoring of research approved by an ethics committee
- Do some targeted “stuff” when needed

Authorship and Publication

QUT Library and Office of Research Ethics & Integrity

Office of Research Ethics & Integrity
orei.enquiries@qut.edu.au

QUT Library
library.research@qut.edu.au



LEGEND - MORE INFORMATION

LINE	ISSUE	HYPERLINKS
01	Conflicts of interest	QUT COI Policy QUT Research COI guidance NHMRC guidance
02	ORCID	ORCID website QUT Library - Researcher Profiles
03	Data management	QUT Policy Australian National Data Service NHMRC Statement on Data Sharing
04	Writing & open access	ThinkCheckSubmit Australian Open Access Strategy Group EQUATOR network
05	Contributors & authors	QUT Authorship Policy CASRAI CRediT
06	Feedback	
07	Journal & peer review	QUT Peer Review Policy QUT ePrints COPE Peer Review Guidelines
08	Rejection	Thesis Whisperer: coping with rejection

About this map

This map has been created to complement QUT's Authorship & Publication training. It provides a framework to help explain and discuss the complex world of academic publication.

Of course, this map is only a tool. In reality, the issues and connections are both more numerous and more tangled than what is represented here. Our hope, however, is that this document is a useful tool for researchers who want an overview of a very complex and important topic.

In the legend on the right-hand-side you will find hyperlinks to resources and tools.

Much more information can be found on the Library and OREI websites:

www.library.qut.edu.au/research/

www.orei.qut.edu.au

Updated April 2016



The Academic Setting – Priorities

- Post-investigation, correction of the public record is an imperative.
 - from an institutional perspective – reputation, integrity of reported research, and trust by funders
 - Needs to occur in the timely manner, to avoid ongoing re-agitation of publication issues
- Managing the privacy and confidentiality of respondents – often at odds to the demands of complaints and or in providing the details of an investigation outcome to publishers
- Collateral damage management for co-authors which might include early career researchers, HDR students, collaborators and funders.

How might we collectively change the future of publication ethics?



We want the same thing:
publication integrity

How might we collectively change the future of publication ethics?



The new COPE and institutional membership arrangement presents an opportunity to develop and road test a cooperative workflow model which identifies key decision points and processes.

We want the same thing:

This could extend to an international collaboration with institutional members and integrity organisations (national or organisation based – i.e. ORI, ARIC, Tri-Agency, UKRIO).

publication integrity

Develop a joint statement of standards for managing publication, retractions and corrections.