




6th WORLD CONFERENCE ON RESEARCH INTEGRITY

HONG KONG
2 - 5 JUNE 2019



Programme Book

The 6th WCRI Co-Chairs and Programme Committee thank all sponsors for their generous contributions.
(The sponsors are listed on pages 2 and 59.)

PLATINUM SPONSORS



Croucher Foundation
裘槎基金會



香 港 大 學
THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

(in alphabetical order)

CONTENTS

Welcome Message	4
Committee Members	5
General Information	7
6 th WCRI 2019 Online	11
How to Get Around in Hong Kong	12
Venue Floor Plan	13
Programme on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)	16
Programme on June 3, 2019 (Monday)	17
Programme on June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)	25
Programme on June 5, 2019 (Wednesday)	29
Poster and Presentation Awards	35
List of Posters	36
Author Index	48
Social Programme	52



WELCOME MESSAGE

Dear Colleagues,

On behalf of the Programme Committee, we warmly welcome you to the 6th World Conference on Research Integrity, co-organized by the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, and RMIT University, Melbourne.

This is the 6th in a series of conferences – with the first held in Lisbon in 2007 – that have built up a strong tradition of inspiring and lively interactions between all stakeholders involved in fostering the responsible conduct of research. Participants come from a wide array of disciplines and countries from all continents, including researchers, teachers, leaders of funding agencies, government officials, journal editors, senior administrators, and research students. Over the past 12 years, the conference focus has evolved, from understanding the key elements of research integrity, to considering international practices and now increasingly exploring empirical evidence from research on research integrity and responsible conduct of research.

The evolving nature of the field is reflected in the theme of the 6th WCRI, *New Challenges for Research Integrity*. Significant changes are occurring in how research is conducted, and the ways in which we influence research cultures towards responsible conduct and research integrity are also changing. We hope to advance discussions on key issues and to explore the application of research findings to develop new and better solutions that address the challenges we face. We also hope that the ‘Hong Kong Manifesto for Assessing Researchers’ can serve as a set of guiding principles to help institutions to improve the current system of faculty incentives and rewards.

We wish to express our appreciation for the help provided by the World Conferences on Research Integrity Foundation in preparing for this conference over the past two years, and the generous support of our sponsors, enabling reasonable registration fees and also more than 50 travel grants for active participants from emerging and developing countries.

We hope you will find the 6th WCRI both enjoyable and stimulating, and that it offers another key step in our research integrity journey.

Co-chairs,



Mai Har Sham



Daniel Barr



Lex Bouter



COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Conference Co-Chairs

- Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne
- Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam

Programme Committee

- Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (Chair)
- Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne (Deputy Chair)
- Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam
- Nicole Föger, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Vienna
- Chris Graf, Wiley, Oxford
- Roxana Lescano Guevara, U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 6, Lima
- Zoë Hammatt, Z. Consulting, Kailua
- Iekuni Ichikawa, The Japanese Medical Science Federation, Tokyo
- Francis Kombe, African Research Integrity Network; and University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), KwaZulu-Natal
- Frederick Koon-Shing Leung, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Advisory Committee

- Lida Anestidou, The National Academies, Washington
- Eva Maria C. Cutiongco-de la Paz, University of the Philippines Manila, Manila
- Jun Fudano, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo
- Susan Garfinkel, The Ohio State University, Columbus
- Elizabeth Heitman, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas
- El-Nasir MA Lalani, Aga Khan University, Karachi
- In Jae Lee, Seoul National University of Education, Seoul
- Prasit Palittapongarnpim, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Bangkok
- Surendra Shastri, BKLW Rural Medical College, Sawarde
- Xiaoyong Shi, National Center for Science and Technology, Beijing
- Ovid JL Tzeng, University System of Taiwan, Taipei
- Christa Van Zyl, Human Sciences Research Council, Pretoria
- Helen Yuehong Zhang, Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE, Hangzhou

Local Organizing Committee

- Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (Chair)
- Danny Chan, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (Deputy Chair)
- Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne
- Jennifer Byrne, The University of Sydney, Sydney
- Jane Jacobs, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane
- Guochen Jia, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong
- Benny Chung-Ying Zee, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Poster Committee

- Sonia Vasconcelos, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (Chair)
- Nicole Föger, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Vienna
- Chris Graf, Wiley, Oxford
- Zoë Hammatt, Z Consulting, LLC, Kailua
- Iekuni Ichikawa, The Japanese Medical Science Federation, Tokyo
- Francis Kombe, KEMRI-Wellcome Trust, Kenya
- Roxana Lescano Guevara, U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit No. 6, Lima

World Conferences on Research Integrity Foundation Governing Board

- Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam (Chair)
- Melissa Anderson, University of Minnesota, Minnesota
- Nicole Föger, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Vienna
- Zoë Hammatt, Z Consulting, LLC, Kailua
- Sabine Kleinert, The Lancet, London
- Tony Mayer, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
- Sonia Vasconcelos, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro
- Susan Zimmerman, Secretariat on Responsible Conduct of Research, Ottawa

Special Acknowledgement


We acknowledge the significant contributions made to the 6th World Conference on Research Integrity by our friend and colleague Paul Taylor, RMIT University, Melbourne (1973-2018).



GENERAL INFORMATION

CONFERENCE VENUE

Grand Hall, Lee Shau Kee Lecture Centre
Centennial Campus, The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong



The most convenient way to reach the Grand Hall is from the MTR station (**HKU station – Exit C1**). Participants who are staying at hotels near the campus are also recommended to enter the University through the HKU MTR station. The conference homepage (<https://wc2019.org/index/venue/conference-venue-hku>) has a detailed guide to show how participants can reach the Grand Hall from the HKU MTR station.

REGISTRATION DESK

The registration desk is located outside the Grand Hall, and it will be open at the following times:

June 2, 2019 (Sunday): 8am – 8pm	June 4, 2019 (Tuesday): 8am – 4:30pm
June 3, 2019 (Monday): 8am – 7:30pm	June 5, 2019 (Wednesday): 8am – 6pm

On-site registration (to be paid by credit card only) can be processed at the registration desk.

The registration fee includes admission to all scientific sessions, the exhibition and poster areas, a conference bag, coffee breaks and lunches, and the welcome reception on June 2, 2019. There is an additional cost for the banquet dinner on June 4, 2019. The accompanying person fee includes admission to the welcome reception and the banquet dinner; admission to the scientific sessions is not included.

You can leave your belongings in the cloakroom. Please contact the staff at the registration desk for assistance.

LANGUAGE AND TIME ZONE

The official language of the 6th WCRI 2019 Conference is English. All time references within this Programme Book are in Hong Kong Time (HKT; GMT+8).

NAME BADGES

All participants and accompanying persons will receive a personal name badge upon registration. Participants are required to wear their badges visibly during the conference. Collection of lunch packs, joining the boat trip and admission to the banquet dinner will be by tickets.

DRESS CODE

Smart casual.

SPEAKERS AT PLENARY, SYMPOSIUM, FOCUS TRACK AND CONCURRENT SESSIONS

All speakers must bring their PowerPoint presentation file on a USB stick and pass it to the technical assistant(s) at the Speakers Ready Room (room LG.17, see floor plan on page 13) at least 4 hours before the session starts. Please note that there are no facilities to use your own laptop in the lecture rooms. The Speakers Ready Room will be open at the following times:

June 2, 2019 (Sunday): 8am – 8pm	June 4, 2019 (Tuesday): 8am – 4:30pm
June 3, 2019 (Monday): 8am – 7:30pm	June 5, 2019 (Wednesday): 8am – 5pm

POSTER PRESENTERS

Two rounds of poster display will be arranged in the poster area (rooms LG.07 and LG.10 (see floor plan on page 13)). Presenters should mount the poster to the poster board that corresponds with the Poster Code at the designated time, and be present during the poster walks.

Group	Time slot to mount poster on poster board	Poster display period	Poster walks	Time slot to dismount poster
A	9am-4pm on June 2	4pm on June 2 to 5pm on June 3	12:30pm to 2pm on June 3	5-6pm on June 3
B	6-7:30pm on June 3, or 8-9am on June 4	9am on June 4 to 5pm on June 5	12:30pm to 2pm on June 4	5-6:30pm on June 5

All posters that have not been dismounted during the designated time will be removed by the conference staff and kept at the registration desk until the end of the conference. All uncollected posters after the conference will be destroyed.

EXHIBITION AREA

The exhibition area (see floor plan on page 13) will be open throughout the conference.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND CHANGES

A message board will be set up near the registration desk. Please check this board daily for any announcements and updates on the programme. The announcements will also be published on the conference website and Twitter.

FOOD AND BEVERAGES

There are 3 coffee points near the Grand Hall where coffee and tea will be served during the conference hours. Water machines will also be available and participants are encouraged to use the water bottle provided in the conference bag.

Lunch will be served in the 5 catering points (4 on LG level and 1 on 3/F). Upon registration you will receive lunch vouchers for each day, which you have to hand over when you pick up your lunch pack. The welcome reception, lunches and banquet dinner will include vegetarian items.

PHOTOGRAPHER

An official photographer has been engaged. By registering for this conference, you agree to have your picture taken and for it to be used in the conference related materials and websites.

EVALUATION FORMS

Evaluation forms will be sent to participants by email at the end of each day during the conference. Please spare time to complete the evaluation forms, which will help to plan for future conferences.

DISCLAIMER

The information is correct at the time of printing. The organizers reserve the right to alter or cancel, without prior notice, any arrangements, timetables, plans or other items relating directly or indirectly to the conference for any cause beyond their reasonable control, and will not assume any responsibility for accidents, losses or damages, as well as delays to or modifications of the conference programme.

INSURANCE AND LIABILITIES

Participants shall be regarded in every aspect as carrying their own risk for loss or injury to person or property. The organizers will not be responsible for any injury, loss, damage, accident to property or additional expenses incurred as a result of delays or changes in air, rail, sea, road, or other services, strikes, sickness, weather, acts of terrorism and any other cause. Participants are advised to arrange adequate travel, liability and health care insurances.

6th WCRI 2019 ONLINE



INTERNET ACCESS

Wireless Internet is offered complimentary to all participants. Please select one of the following WiFi networks for access to the Internet:

User	WiFi Network
Visitors from eduroam institutions	Eduroam
Other visitors	Wi-Fi.HK via HKU (no username and password is needed)

ONLINE ABSTRACT BOOK

The abstract book is posted on the conference website: <http://www.wcri2019.org/>.

RECORDED SESSIONS

All plenary sessions of the 6th WCRI will be recorded. The videos of plenary lectures will be posted on YouTube after the conference with a link on the conference website, and PDFs of the presentation slides will be published on the conference website, unless no permission is granted to do so.

TWITTER

The official twitter account of the 6th WCRI is @WCRI2019. Please follow @WCRI2019 to follow all tweets of the conference and use #WCRI2019 to tweet and share conference related information, videos, comments or pictures.



WEBSITE

Please make sure you check the conference website <http://www.wcri2019.org/> before and during the conference for detailed information about the conference programme, announcements and latest updates.



HOW TO GET AROUND IN HONG KONG

BANKS AND CURRENCY

Major banks are open from 9am to 5pm Monday to Friday, and 9am to 12:30pm on Saturday. They close on Sundays and public holidays. Legal tender is the Hong Kong Dollar (HKD). Since the mid-1980s, the value of the Hong Kong dollar has been pegged at HKD7.8 to the US dollar, and consequent rates of exchange to other currencies. However, the market rate exchange to the US dollar fluctuates marginally. As at late April 2019, the Euro/HKD exchange rate is 1 HKD = 0.11 Euro.

ELECTRICITY

The standard electrical voltage in Hong Kong is 220 volts AC, 50Hz, so you will need an adaptor for your 100-volt appliances and electrical equipment.

OPENING HOURS

The vast majority of shops are open every day throughout the year. Generally, opening hours are 10am to 7pm. However many stores in busy retail areas such as Causeway Bay and Tsim Sha Tsui stay open until 9:30pm or even later, particularly on weekends. Shops in Central close as early as 7pm.

TIPS

Most restaurants will levy a 10% service charge but waiters will expect to be given some loose change. Restaurants, that do not add a service charge will expect a 10% tip. However, tipping is left to your discretion. Hotel porters, restroom and door attendants and taxi drivers will happily accept loose change.

TRANSPORTATION

Public transportation via bus, ferry or train is efficient, relatively inexpensive and user-friendly. The system covers extensive areas of Hong Kong with signs in English and Chinese. For details about the Airport Express and train services, please visit www.mtr.com.hk.

WEATHER

Hong Kong has a sub-tropical climate. Summer begins in June with an average temperature between 26°C and 31°C. It is hot, humid and sunny, with occasional showers and thunderstorms. Visit the website of the Hong Kong Observatory (<https://www.hko.gov.hk/contente.htm>) for the weather forecast.

USEFUL NUMBERS

Directory Enquiries: +852 1081 / 1083

Weather: +852 187 8200


Emergency Services (Police, Fire, Ambulance): +852 999

Hong Kong Tourism Board Visitor Hotline (8am - 6pm Hong Kong Time): +852 2508 1234


Hong Kong International Airport, English (24 hours): +852 2181 0000

VENUE FLOOR PLAN


LG Level



Poster Area (LG.07 and LG.10)



3/F





Programme on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)

08:00	Registration (08:00 – 20:00)
16:30	Opening Ceremony
17:00	Plenary A: New challenges in research integrity (Chair: Mai Har Sham) Guoqing Dai: Concerted efforts for coping with new challenges for research integrity Alan Finkel: Actions to advance research integrity
18:00-20:00	Welcome Reception

Detailed Programme - June 2, 2019 (Sunday)

08:00 – 20:00 Registration desk	Registration
16:30 – 17:00 Grand Hall	Opening ceremony Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Xiang Zhang, President, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Calum Drummond, Deputy Vice-Chancellor Research and Innovation and Vice-President, RMIT University, Melbourne Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne: In memory of Dr. Paul Taylor
Plenary A 17:00 – 18:00 Grand Hall	New challenges in research integrity Chair: Mai Har Sham Concerted efforts for coping with new challenges for research integrity Guoqing Dai, Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, Beijing Actions to advance research integrity Alan Finkel, Australia's Chief Scientist, Canberra
18:00 – 20:00 Foyer and LG.08-09	Welcome reception



Programme on June 3, 2019 (Monday)

Time	Grand Hall	LG.08	LG.09	LG.18	CPD-3.04	CPD-3.28	LG.34
08:00	Registration (08:00 – 19:30)						
09:00	Plenary B: Integrity in innovation and impact (Chair: Zoë Hammatt) Charlie Day: Research, risk, and trust: translating ideas for impact Maura Hiney: Integrity challenges in evaluating the path to impact Maria Leptin: What innovation can tell us about responsible conduct of research						
10:30	Break						
11:00	SY1	SY2	FT1	CC1	CC2	CC3	CC4
	Implementation of new codes of conduct for research integrity	Responsible authorship	Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (1st session)	Arts, humanities, social sciences	Attitudes 1	Principles and codes 1	Plagiarism
	Lex Bouter	Charon Pierson	Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney	Melissa Anderson	Sonia Vasconcelos	Christa Van Zyl	Iekuni Ichikawa
12:30	Lunch and Poster Walks (Group A)						
14:00	Plenary C: Institutional assessment of researchers (Chair: Lex Bouter) Frank Miedema: Academic leadership and the transition to open science David Moher: Is the assessment of researchers fit for purpose? Mai Har Sham: Challenges in establishing a research culture that supports research excellence						
15:30	Mini-Break						
15:45	SY3	FT2	CC5	CC6	CC7	CC8	CC9
	Preprints: beneficial or harmful for research integrity and publication ethics? A debate	How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (1st session)	Behaviour	Medical	Attitudes 2	Principles and codes 2	Education
	Chris Graf	David Moher	Elizabeth Heitman	Jennifer Byrne	Tony Mayer	Xiaoyong Shi	Danny Chan
17:15	Break						
17:45-19:15	SY4	SY5	CC10	CC11	CC12	CC13	CC14
	Researcher and institutional roles in resolving (and accepting) diverse views of responsible conduct of research	Misdirected allegations of breaches of research integrity	Management	Misconduct	Attitudes 3	Prevention 1	Predators
	Michele Garfinkel	Frits Rosendaal	Frederick Leung	Ovid Tzeng	Francis Kombe	Roxana Lescano	Susan Zimmerman

Detailed Programme - June 3, 2019 (Monday)

08:00 – 19:30 Registration desk	Registration
Plenary B 09:00 – 10:30 Grand Hall	Integrity in innovation and impact Chair: Zoë Hammatt Research, risk, and trust: translating ideas for impact Charlie Day, Office of Innovation and Science Australia, Canberra Integrity challenges in evaluating the path to impact Maura Hiney, Health Research Board Ireland, Dublin What innovation can tell us about responsible conduct of research Maria Leptin, European Molecular Biology Organization (EMBO), Heidelberg
10:30 – 11:00 Foyer	Break
Symposium 11:00 – 12:30 Grand Hall	Implementation of new codes of conduct for research integrity (SY1) Lex Bouter (Convener) René van Woudenberg, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Jillian Barr, Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra Ton Hol, Utrecht University; and League of European Research Universities, Utrecht Yu Shi, Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China, Beijing
Symposium 11:00 – 12:30 LG.08	Responsible authorship (SY2) Charon Pierson (Convener) Tracey Bretag, COPE; and University South Australia, Adelaide Siu-wai Leung, University of Macau, Macau Trevor Lane, COPE; and Edanz Group, Fukuoka
Focus track 11:00 – 12:30 LG.09	Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (1st session) (FT1) Co-Chairs: Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney
Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 LG.18	Arts, humanities, social sciences (CC1) Chair: Melissa Anderson O-001 Research misconduct in non-empirical research – are there types of misconduct analogous to fabrication and falsification? Søren Holm, University of Manchester, Manchester O-002 Questionable research practices in the humanities. Evidence from a comprehensive focus group and survey study (the PRINT project) Mads P. Sørensen, Aarhus University, Aarhus O-003 Possibility of redefining fabrication and falsification in humanities Tetsuji Iseda, Kyoto University, Kyoto O-004 Interdisciplinary approach as a solution to address the emerging issues of academic integrity in behavioral and social sciences in Pakistan Fahad Mahmood, University of the Punjab, Lahore O-005 The humanities virtues project: bringing a virtue-based approach to responsible conduct of research training to the humanities Chet McLeskey, Michigan State University, East Lansing

Concurrent session

11:00 – 12:30

CPD-3.04

Attitudes 1 (CC2)

Chair: Sonia Vasconcelos

- O-006 **The 'Singapore Statement on Research Integrity' and academics' perceptions of responsible research conduct**
Twan Huybers, UNSW, Canberra
- O-007 **Academic capitalism, self-efficacy, and the change of attitude towards scientific misconduct: surveys on Chinese PhD graduates**
Yandong Zhao, Renmin University of China, Beijing
- O-008 **Perception of research integrity on Taiwan university campuses: a comparison of administrators, faculties, and students**
Chien Chou, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu
- O-009 **Research integrity of PhD-candidates in the Scandinavian countries. Results from 6 years of surveys**
Bjorn Hofmann, NTNU and University of Oslo, Oslo
- O-010 **Opinion towards research integrity from researchers in Thailand: a cross-sectional survey**
Boosaree Titapiwatanakun, Mahidol University, Bangkok

Concurrent session

11:00 – 12:30

CPD-3.28

Principles and codes 1 (CC3)

Chair: Christa Van Zyl

- O-011 **Ensuring value in research international funders' collaboration and development forum guiding principles**
Dorota Goble, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Southampton
- O-012 **Between virtue ethics and professionalisation: varieties of self-regulation among scientific codes of conduct in Europe**
Hugh Desmond, KU Leuven, Leuven
- O-035 **Research integrity and research ethics experiences: a comparative study of Croatia, the Netherlands, and Spain**
Natalie Evans, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam
- O-014 **Sharing Information on the responsible conduct of research: a Canadian perspective**
Geziena Cynthia Fekken, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario
- O-015 **Practicing the Danish code of conduct for research integrity**
Rachel Douglas-Jones, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen

Concurrent session

11:00 – 12:30

LG.34

Plagiarism (CC4)

Chair: Iekuni Ichikawa

- O-016 **Perceptions of plagiarism by biomedical researchers: an online survey in Europe and China**
Nannan Yi, University of Leuven, Leuven
- O-017 **Reviewing the prevalence of visual plagiarism within visual arts tertiary education in south east Asia**
Lisa Winstanley, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
- O-018 **Managing plagiarism and academic fraud in higher degree programmes**
Jerry Hoffman, Southern Institute of Technology, Invercargill
- O-019 **A breakdown in communication: journal reactions to information about plagiarism and duplicate publications**
Debora Weber-Wulff, HTW Berlin, Berlin

12:30 – 14:00 Foyer	Lunch	
12:30 – 14:00 LG.07 and LG.10	Poster walks (Group A) Assessment 1 – Chair: Sonia Vasconcelos Education/new approaches 1 – Chair: Zoë Hammatt Education and training 1 – Chair: Roxana Lescano Investigating research misconduct 1 – Chair: Michael Kalichman Perceptions/attitudes 1 – Chair: Francis Kombe Publication ethics/reproducibility 1 – Chair: Chris Graf Tackling unethical practices 1 – Chair: Iekuni Ichikawa Transparency 1 – Chair: Susan Zimmerman	PM-001 – PM-012 PM-025 – PM-035 PM-046 – PM-052 PM-060 – PM-071 PM-084 – PM-095 PM-108 – PM-119 PM-133 – PM-143 PM-155 – PM-166
Plenary C 14:00 – 15:30 Grand Hall	Institutional assessment of researchers Chair: Lex Bouter Academic leadership and the transition to open science Frank Miedema, Utrecht University, Utrecht Is the assessment of researchers fit for purpose? David Moher, University of Ottawa; and Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa Challenges in establishing a research culture that supports research excellence Mai Har Sham, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong	
15:30 – 15:45 Foyer	Mini-break	
Symposium 15:45 – 17:15 Grand Hall	Preprints: Beneficial or harmful for research integrity and publication ethics? A debate (SY3) Chris Graf (Convener) John Inglis, bioRxiv; and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York Heather Tierney, COPE; and American Chemical Society, Washington, DC Debbie Sweet, Cell Press, Elsevier, Cambridge Howard Browman, Austevoll Research Station, Storebø	
Focus track 15:45 – 17:15 LG.08	How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (1st session) (FT2) Chair: David Moher	
Concurrent session 15:45 – 17:15 LG.09	Behaviour (CC5) Chair: Elizabeth Heitman O-020 What traits of character do exemplary scientists value? Results from the scientific virtues survey Robert Pennock, Michigan State University, East Lansing O-021 A cross-national, cross-field study of researcher personality and questionable research practices Michael Bang Petersen, Aarhus University, Aarhus O-022 The QUEST Center in Berlin – a laboratory for behavior change in academic biomedicine Dirnagl Ulrich, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin O-023 Funding research on research: addressing the need for greater relevance, scientific quality, integrity and efficiency in academic research Annelein Stax, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, The Hague O-024 Selecting replication studies for funding – a small window of opportunity Guillaume Macor, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, The Hague	

Concurrent session

15:45 – 17:15

LG.18

Medical (CC6)

Chair: Jennifer Byrne

- O-025 **The public sector's role in vaccine development: a case study of the ebola vaccine**
Matthew Herder, Dalhousie University, Halifax
- O-026 **Annotating clinical trial publications to assess consort adherence: a feasibility study**
Halil Kilicoglu, U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda
- O-027 **A high journal impact factor is associated with more changes in pre-registered outcome measures on ClinicalTrials.gov during or after study duration**
Herm Lamberink, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht
- O-028 **Examining the impact of research misconduct, and delays to its correction, on vitamin K reviews and guidelines**
Alison Avenell, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen
- O-029 **Assessment and prediction of questionable research practices in 163,000 randomized clinical trials**
Herm Lamberink, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht

Concurrent session

15:45 – 17:15

CPD-3.04

Attitudes 2 (CC7)

Chair: Tony Mayer

- O-030 **The relationship between questionable research practices and the perceptions of working conditions among researchers**
Nick Allum, University of Essex, Colchester
- O-031 **Perceptions and prevalence of questionable research practices across research fields: findings from a large-scale multinational survey**
Jesper Schneider, Aarhus University, Aarhus
- O-032 **Taiwanese and US graduate students' alternative concepts of responsible conduct of research: a comparison study**
Sophia Jui-An Pan, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu
- O-033 **Research climate in Nigerian universities: a comparative analysis of two universities with similar mandate**
Job Nmadu, Federal University of Technology, Minna

Concurrent session

15:45 – 17:15

CPD-3.28

Principles and codes 2 (CC8)

Chair: Xiaoyong Shi

- O-034 **Research integrity around the pacific rim: developing the APEC guiding principles for research integrity**
Daniel Barr, RMIT University, Melbourne
- O-013 **Strengthening research integrity in Australia**
Jillian Barr, National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra
- O-036 **Revision of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) white paper on safeguarding good scientific practice – embedding a new culture of research integrity**
Sonja Ochsenfeld-Repp, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn
- O-037 **Research ethics in Russia: challenges for the Russian Science Foundation**
Sergey Konovalov, Russian Science Foundation, Moscow
- O-038 **Scientific integrity requires science to think of itself: the Colombian experience in the design of a policy on ethics of research, bioethics and scientific integrity**
Deyanira Duque Ortiz, Colciencias, Bogotá

Concurrent session 15:45 – 17:15 LG.34	Education (CC9) Chair: Danny Chan O-039 Integrity reminders – a new approach about research integrity education Weiping Yang, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing O-040 Will games kill off textbooks? Assessing existing research integrity educational resources within the European context Daniel Pizzolato, KU Leuven, Leuven O-041 Values-based learning modules on responsible conduct of research in undergraduate medical education Nomar Alviar, San Beda University, Manila O-042 Educational interventions support adoption of reproducible research practices Ulf Toelch, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin O-043 A missing component of research integrity pedagogy: expanding RCR education to include writing scientific prose Joanna Johnson, University of Miami, Miami
17:15 – 17:45 Foyer	Break
Symposium 17:45 – 19:15 Grand Hall	Researcher and institutional roles in resolving (and accepting) diverse views of responsible conduct of research (SY4) Michele Garfinkel (Convener) Michele Garfinkel, EMBO, Heidelberg Michael Imperiale, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Jianquan Guo, National Natural Science Foundation of China, Beijing
Symposium 17:45 – 19:15 LG.08	Misdirected allegations of breaches of research integrity (SY5) Frits Rosendaal (Convener) Frits Rosendaal, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden Yvonne Erkens, Leiden University, Leiden Ivan Oransky, Retraction Watch, New York
Concurrent session 17:45 – 19:15 LG.09	Management (CC10) Chair: Frederick Leung O-044 Implementing a culture of integrity: styles in governing research integrity in university environments Ulrike Felt, University of Vienna, Vienna O-045 Implementation of ombudspersons and improvement of their work: to dos and don'ts Helga Nolte, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg O-046 Managing responsible research? Ideals and evaluations of biomedicine at the institutional, research group and individual level Jochem Zuiderdijk, Leiden University, Leiden O-047 Investigating responsible research in Finland: research integrity barometer 2018 and the 2015-2019 surveys of (in)appropriate feedback Anni Sairio, TENK & TJNK, Helsinki O-048 ENRIO (European Network of Research Integrity Offices): recommendations on how to investigate research misconduct – a handbook Torkild Vinther, ENRIO, Lommedalen

Concurrent session 17:45 – 19:15 LG.18	Misconduct (CC11) Chair: Ovid Tzeng O-049 Own it! Deliberating serious research misconduct at institutions where the misconduct occurred Christian Simon, Duke University, Durham O-050 Lessons from an analysis of 150 recent real life cases of research misconduct Abdi Shila, University of Leuven, Leuven O-051 Personalising impersonal science – a narrative-ethical analysis of some integrity issues Jos Kole, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen O-052 Self-reported occurrence and factors associated with research misconduct among HIV researchers in Kenya Edwin Were, Moi University, Eldoret O-053 Research misconduct in dissertations and scientific publications in Russia Vasiliy Vlassov, HSE, Moscow
Concurrent session 17:45 – 19:15 CPD-3.04	Attitudes 3 (CC12) Chair: Francis Kombe O-054 Major and minor research misbehaviours according to academic researchers in Amsterdam – a mixed method study Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam O-055 Research misconduct among health and life sciences publications: a systematic review of retracted articles from emerging institutions Rafaelly Stavale, Universidade De Brasilia, Brasilia O-056 Measuring researcher allegiance in research on psychosocial interventions Whitney Yoder, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam O-057 The perceived FFP-QRP hierarchy – results from a large-scale survey among Norwegian researchers Matthias Kaiser, University of Bergen, Bergen O-058 Effectiveness of active learning-based responsible conduct of research workshop in improving knowledge, attitude and behaviour among Malaysian researchers De-Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia/Young Scientists Network-Academy of Sciences Malaysia, Serdang
Concurrent session 17:45 – 19:15 CPD-3.28	Prevention 1 (CC13) Chair: Roxana Lescano O-059 Research misconduct: disease or symptom? Michael Kalichman, UC San Diego, San Diego O-060 The perceived prevalence, cause, and prevention of research misconduct: results from a survey of faculty at America's top 100 universities Michael Reisig, Arizona State University, Phoenix O-061 Research quality development of the Thailand National Science and Technology Development Agency Sudarat Luepongpatana, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Thailand, Bangkok O-062 National practices in research integrity in EU member states & associated countries Ignacio Baleztena, European Commission, Brussels O-063 How to foster integrity with prevention – a European role model Martina Baravalle and Karl-Gerhard Strassl, University of Music and Performing Arts Vienna, Vienna

Concurrent session

17:45 – 19:15

LG.34

Predators (CC14)

Chair: Susan Zimmerman

O-064 **Predators at the gates: citations to predatory journals in mainstream scientific literature**

Rick Anderson, University of Utah, Salt Lake City

O-065 **Confronting predatory publishers and conference organizers: a firsthand account**

Donna Romyn, Athabasca University, St Albert

O-066 **Selective citation in biomedical sciences: an overview of six research fields**

Miriam Urlings, Maastricht University, Maastricht

O-067 **The monetary returns of adding false investigators to grant proposals**

Eric Fong, University of Alabama, Huntsville

O-068 **Author misrepresentation of institutional affiliations: exploratory cross-sectional case study on secondary individual data**

Vivienne C. Bachelet, Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH), Santiago



Programme on June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)

Time	Grand Hall	LG.08	LG.09	LG.18	CPD-3.04	CPD-3.28
08:00	Registration (08:00 – 16:30)					
09:00	Plenary D: Solutions that make a difference (Chair: Lex Bouter) Joseph Cesario: A view of peer-review pre-registration at comprehensive results in social psychology Christa Van Zyl: Developing research integrity capacity through training and networking in Africa Ana Marušić: The Embassy of Good Science					
10:30	Break					
11:00	SY6	FT2	FT1	CC15	CC16	CC17
	Institutional challenges in integrity education	How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (2 nd session)	Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (2 nd session)	Whistleblowers	Retractions	Prevention 2
	Hub Zwart and Guy Widdershoven	David Moher	Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney	Zoë Hammatt	Nick Steneck	Prasit Palittapongarnpim
12:30	Lunch, Poster Walks (Group B), and Meet the Experts					
14:00	Plenary E: Perspectives for funding agencies in shaping responsible research practices (Chair: Mai Har Sham) Anne-Marie Coriat: Trust and value in research – a systems perspective Kylie Emery: Simplifying and strengthening responsible research practice – the Australian experience Qikun Xue: Research integrity practice at Tsinghua University					
15:30	Mini-Break					
15:45	Steneck-Mayer Lecture (Chair: Melissa Anderson) Mark McMillan: Ethical and integrity dilemmas for engaging with humanity's oldest living knowledge systems					
16:30	Boat Trip					
18:30 - 22:00	Banquet Dinner					

TUESDAY - JUNE 4

Detailed Programme - June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)

08:00 – 16:30 Registration desk	Registration
Plenary D 09:00 – 10:30 Grand Hall	<p>Solutions that make a difference Chair: Lex Bouter</p> <p>A view of peer-review pre-registration at comprehensive results in social psychology Joseph Cesario, Michigan State University, East Lansing</p> <p>Developing research integrity capacity through training and networking in Africa Christa Van Zyl, Human Sciences Research Council, Pretoria</p> <p>The Embassy of Good Science Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split</p>
10:30 – 11:00 Foyer	Break
Symposium 11:00 – 12:30 Grand Hall	<p>Institutional challenges in integrity education (SY6) Hub Zwart and Guy Widdershoven (Conveners) Isidoros Karatzas, European Commission, Brussels Caroline Gans Combe, INSEEC; and DEFORM, Paris Hub Zwart, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam Guy Widdershoven, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, EnTIRE and VIRT2UE, The Embassy of Good Science, Amsterdam</p>
Focus track 11:00 – 12:30 LG.08	<p>How can we improve organizational assessment of researchers (2nd session) (FT2) Chair: David Moher</p>
Focus track 11:00 – 12:30 LG.09	<p>Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact (2nd session) (FT1) Co-Chairs: Daniel Barr and Maura Hiney</p>
Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 LG.18	<p>Whistleblowers (CC15) Chair: Zoë Hammatt</p> <p>O-069 Benchmarking research related complaints in Australia – an exercise to increase transparency and build public trust Rebecca Halligan, University of Sydney, Sydney</p> <p>O-070 The "Murky Waters" of questionable research practices Johannes Hjellbrekke, University of Bergen, Bergen</p> <p>O-071 Perspective of the whistleblower John Thomas, Healy Hafemann Magee, Roanoke</p> <p>O-072 Reflections of a passionate and almost excommunicated scientist Gerben Ter Riet, Amsterdam University Medical Center & Univ. Applied Sciences, Amsterdam</p>

Concurrent session

11:00 – 12:30

CPD-3.04

Retractions (CC16)

Chair: Nick Steneck

O-073 **The impact of published incorrect scientific information on the knowledge production of scientific communities**

Lydia Liesegang, TU Berlin, Berlin

O-074 **What do we know about reasons for retraction and academic career perspectives?**

Jochem Zijderwijk, Leiden University, Leiden

O-075 **An analysis of retracted articles with African authors or co-authors: possible implications for training and awareness raising**

Liapeng Matsau, Human Science Research Council, Pretoria

O-076 **Responses of institutions to wide-ranging concerns about research reported by a group of researchers with multiple retracted publications: a narrative review**

Andrew Grey, University of Auckland, Auckland

O-077 **The views of a group of funders/reviewers about the influence of retractions in the evaluation of grant proposals**

Mariana Ribeiro, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro

Concurrent session

11:00 – 12:30

CPD-3.28

Prevention 2 (CC17)

Chair: Prasit Palittapongarnpim

O-078 **Back to basics: can early communication about good scientific practice help prevent misconduct?**

Michael Gommel, Institute for Systemic Ethics in Medicine and Organizations, Berlin

O-079 **Testing an active intervention to reduce questionable research practices**

Samuel Bruton, The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg

O-080 **How 2 survive academia – the self-help guide with evidence based interventions to become a responsible and happy researcher**

Joeri Tjink, VU University/Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam

O-082 **Supporting researchers in cases of conflict – how ombudspersons contribute to the prevention of scientific misconduct**

Hjördis Czesnick, German Research Ombudsman, Berlin

12:30 – 13:30

LG.60-LG.62

Meet the experts

De Ming Chau (LG.60) - moderator: Jennifer Byrne

Frank Miedema (LG.61) - moderator: Gerben ter Riet

Deborah Poff (LG.62) - moderator: Joeri Tjink

12:30 – 14:00

Foyer

Lunch

12:30 – 14:00 LG.07 and LG.10	Poster walks (Group B) Assessment 2 – Chair: Elizabeth Heitman Education/new approaches 2 – Chair: Guochen Jia Education and training 2 – Chair: Benny Zee Investigating research misconduct 2 – Chair: Nicole Föger Perceptions/attitudes 2 – Chair: Danny Chan Publication ethics/reproducibility 2 – Chair: Sergio Litewka Tackling unethical practices 2 – Chair: Ana Marušić Transparency 2 – Chair: In Jae Lee	PT-013 – PT-024 PT-036 – PT-045 PT-053 – PT-059 PT-072 – PT-083 PT-096 – PT-107 PT-120 – PT-132 PT-144 – PT-154 PT-167 – PT-178
Plenary E 14:00 – 15:30 Grand Hall	Perspectives for funding agencies in shaping responsible research practices Chair: Mai Har Sham Trust and value in research – a systems perspective Anne-Marie Coriat, Wellcome Trust, London Simplifying and strengthening responsible research practice – the Australian experience Kylie Emery, Australian Research Council, Canberra Research integrity practice at Tsinghua University Qikun Xue, Tsinghua University, Beijing	
15:30 – 15:45 Foyer	Mini-break	
Steneck-Mayer Lecture 15:45 – 16:30 Grand Hall	Ethical and integrity dilemmas for engaging with humanity's oldest living knowledge systems Chair: Melissa Anderson Mark McMillan, RMIT University, Melbourne	
16:30 – 18:30	Boat trip	
18:30 – 22:00	Banquet dinner at the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre, Kai Tak Cruise Terminal	



Programme on June 5, 2019 (Wednesday)

Time	Grand Hall	LG.08	LG.09	LG.18	CPD-3.04	CPD-3.28
08:00	Registration (08:00 – 18:00)					
09:00	Plenary F: Asia in focus: recent advances in fostering research integrity (Chair: Daniel Barr) De Ming Chau: Fostering research integrity in Malaysia by young scientists In Jae Lee: Recent efforts and tasks for the Korean government to secure research ethics Li Tang: Integrity construction in China: challenges and prospects					
10:30	Break					
11:00	SY7	SY8	CC18	CC19	CC20	CC21
	Transparency 2025 – a panel discussion on new ideas to promote transparency in research	Essential areas for better peer review: Equipping research journals for change – “integrity first, then impact”	Research ethics and research methods	Transparency 1	Interventions	Publishing 1
	Tracey Bretag	Michael Willis	Guochen Jia	Michael Gommel	Lida Anestidou	Chris Graf
12:30	Lunch and Meet the Experts					
13:30	Plenary G: Predatory publishing and other challenges of new models to share knowledge (Chair: Susan Zimmerman) Deborah Poff: Complexities and approaches to predatory publishing Bhushan Patwardhan: Research integrity and publication ethics: Indian scenario Matt Hodgkinson: A view of predatory publishing from an open access publisher					
15:00	Mini-Break					
15:15	SY9	SY10	CC22	CC23	CC24	CC25
	Fostering RI and social relevance of research findings: a global perspective through intercultural dialogue	Data sharing and RCR: sharing industry experiences as part of the research transparency environment	Training	Transparency 2	Checking data and images	Publishing 2
	Costas Charitidis	Tatjana Poplazarova and Francis Crawley	El-Nasir MA Lalani	Nicole Föger	Jun Fudano	Chris Graf
16:45	Break					
17:00-18:00	Plenary H: Concluding plenary (Chair: Lex Bouter)					

Detailed Programme - June 5, 2019 (Wednesday)

08:00 – 18:00 Registration desk	Registration
Plenary F 09:00 – 10:30 Grand Hall	<p>Asia in focus: recent advances in fostering research integrity Chair: Daniel Barr</p> <p>Fostering research integrity in Malaysia by young scientists De Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang</p> <p>Recent efforts and tasks for the Korean government to secure research ethics In Jae Lee, Seoul National University of Education, Seoul</p> <p>Integrity construction in China: challenges and prospects Li Tang, Fudan University, Shanghai</p>
10:30 – 11:00 Foyer	Break
Symposium 11:00 – 12:30 Grand Hall	<p>Transparency 2025 – a panel discussion on new ideas to promote transparency in research (SY7) Tracey Bretag (Convener) Maura Hiney, Health Research Board, Ireland, Dublin Ginny Barbour, Australasian Open Access Strategy Group, Brisbane Frederick Leung, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong</p>
Symposium 11:00 – 12:30 LG.08	<p>Essential areas for better peer review: equipping research journals for change – “integrity first, then impact” (SY8) Michael Willis (Convener) Elizabeth Moylan, John Wiley & Sons, Oxford Zijian Zheng, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong Shayuti Adnan, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu Graeme Smith, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh</p>
Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 LG.09	<p>Research ethics and research methods (CC18) Chair: Guochen Jia</p> <p>O-083 From RE to RI: exploring the similarities and differences between research integrity and research ethics Raymond De Vries, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor</p> <p>O-084 Roles and responsibilities in a medical research ethics committee – a qualitative research study among members of a Dutch Medical Research Ethics Committee Rien Janssens, VUmc, Amsterdam</p> <p>O-085 Research waste: why we need to rethink meta-analysis Judith ter Schure, CWI (Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica), Amsterdam</p> <p>O-086 Why we still use null hypothesis significance testing in empirical research Michiel de Boer, VU Amsterdam, Amsterdam</p> <p>O-087 Multiple perspectives on inference for two simple statistical scenarios Noah van Dongen, University of Turin, Turin</p>

Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 LG.18	Transparency 1 (CC19) Chair: Michael Gommel O-088 Risks and benefits of data sharing from clinical trials: do participants see them the way an ethical review committee thinks they do? Sharon Freitag, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto O-089 Open science for publications and data: chances and risks for research integrity Michele Leduc, CNRS, Paris O-090 Data curation as a means to promote reproducibility and discoverability Christopher Hunter, GigaScience, BGI, Hong Kong O-091 Effectiveness of data auditing as a tool to reinforce good research data management (RDM) practice Yusuf Ali, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore O-092 Transparency and openness in research: a survey among researchers, peer reviewers and editors across scientific disciplines Mario Malicki, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam
Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 CPD-3.04	Interventions (CC20) Chair: Lida Anestidou O-093 Ensuring minimum standards for responsible conduct of research in a resource poor setting – low cost solutions towards research integrity at Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre (SKMCH&RC), Pakistan Farah Asif, Shaukat Khaunum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore O-094 The use of embedded poll questions as a tool for assessing research integrity training and climate Nicholas H Steneck, Retired, Ann Arbor O-095 Using the “List Experiment” to identify bias in surveys on questionable research practices Michael Bang Petersen, Aarhus University, Aarhus O-096 A pilot study of the randomized response as a method to be used in a nation-wide survey on research integrity in The Netherlands Gowri Gopalakrishna, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam O-097 Institutional re-engineering of ethical discourse in STEM (iREDS): a randomized field trial of ethics interventions Dena Plemmons, University of California, Riverside

Concurrent session 11:00 – 12:30 CPD-3.28	Publishing 1 (CC21) Chair: Chris Graf O-098 History of scientific publishing requirements: a systematic review and meta-analyses of studies analysing instructions to authors Ana Jeroncic, University of Split School of Medicine, Split O-099 Global trends in research integrity and research ethics analysed through bibliometrics analysis of publications Michael Khor, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore O-100 Identifying and quantifying the level of questionable abstract publications at scientific meetings Harold Garner, VCOM, Blacksburg O-101 Estimated prevalence of citation manipulation by reviewers based on the citation patterns of 69,000 reviewers Catriona Fennell, Elsevier, Amsterdam O-102 Concealed homeopathy: a natural test of peer-review quality Alexander Panchin, Institute for Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow
12:30 – 13:30 Foyer	Lunch
12:30 – 13:30 LG.60-LG.62	Meet the Experts Anne-Marie Coriat (LG.60) - moderator: Joeri Tjldink Ana Marušić (LG.61) - moderator: Gerben ter Riet David Moher (LG.62) - moderator: Jennifer Byrne
Plenary G 13:30 – 15:00 Grand Hall	Predatory publishing and other challenges of new models to share knowledge Chair: Susan Zimmerman Complexities and approaches to predatory publishing Deborah Poff, COPE, Ottawa Research integrity and publication ethics: Indian scenario Bhushan Patwardhan, University Grants Commission, New Delhi A view of predatory publishing from an open access publisher Matt Hodgkinson, Hindawi, London
15:00 – 15:15 Foyer	Mini-break
Symposium 15:15 – 16:45 Grand Hall	Fostering RI and social relevance of research findings: a global perspective through intercultural dialogue (SY9) Costas Charitidis (Convener) Kwanchanok Yimtae, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen Peta Ashworth, University of Queensland, Brisbane Francis Kombe, African Research Integrity Network; and University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), KwaZulu-Natal Isidoros Karatzas, European Commission, Brussels Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens, Athens

Symposium 15:15 – 16:45 LG.08	Data sharing and RCR: sharing industry experiences as part of the research transparency environment (SY10) Tatjana Poplazarova and Francis Crawley (Conveners) Rebecca Li, Vivli, Cambridge MA Priya Pavithran, GSK Vaccines, Brussels Slávka Baróniková, Takeda, Wilrijk Karen Woolley, Envision Pharma Group; and Research Integrity and Peer Review, Noosa Heads Suzanne Farley, Springer Nature Publishing, London Haihong Zhang, Peking University, Beijing Dorota Goble, UK National Institute of Health Research (NIHR); and EVIR, Southampton
Concurrent session 15:15 – 16:45 LG.09	Training (CC22) Chair: El-Nasir MA Lalani O-103 On the relative importance of training in research ethics Helene Ingierd, The Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Oslo O-104 Training for research integrity and research ethics: a scoping review Ružica Tokalić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split O-105 Developing a face-to-face train-the-trainers program for fostering virtues in research integrity Giulia Inguaggiato, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam O-106 Seminars on research integrity Michael Seadle, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin O-107 Recognition of the precarious academic self – notes toward a performative virtue approach in professional RI training and its practical implications Armin Schmolmueller, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity (ÖAWI), Vienna
Concurrent session 15:15 – 16:45 LG.18	Transparency 2 (CC23) Chair: Nicole Föger O-108 Findings, conclusions, and recommendations from two U.S. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine's reports: reproducibility and replicability in science and open science by design Tom Arrison, U.S. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington O-109 Monitoring open science developments in Europe: the experience of the open science monitor Thed van Leeuwen, Leiden University, Leiden O-110 Ethical constraints on the implementation of institutional open research data management policy in a South African health research context: the push and pull Lyn Horn, University of Cape Town, Cape Town O-111 The Brazilian reproducibility initiative: a multicenter systematic assessment of Brazilian biomedical science Olavo Amaral, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro O-112 Best practice in assessing research excellence by public research funders – quality over quantity! Tobias Grimm, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn

Concurrent session 15:15 – 16:45 CPD-3.04	Checking data and images (CC24) Chair: Jun Fudano O-113 Image integrity in scientific publication Jana Christopher, FEBS, Image-Integrity, Heidelberg O-114 Impact of data availability on resolution of post-publication image concern cases Renee Hoch, PLOS ONE, San Francisco O-115 Helping research misconduct investigations: methods for statistical certainty reporting of inappropriate figure reuse Daniel Acuna, Syracuse University, Syracuse O-116 An image integrity database Thorsten Beck, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin O-117 Semi-automated fact-checking of nucleotide sequence reagents in biomedical research publications: the seek & blastn tool Jennifer Byrne, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead
Concurrent session 15:15 – 16:45 CPD-3.28	Publishing 2 (CC25) Chair: Chris Graf O-118 Use and impact of the ARRIVE guidelines: a survey of in vivo researchers worldwide Nathalie Percie du Sert, NC3Rs, London O-119 Improving diversity and inclusion in peer review at Lancet journals Joan Marsh, The Lancet Psychiatry, London O-120 Sharing valid research: case study of an open-access publisher Gearóid Ó Faoleán, Frontiers, Lausanne O-121 The role of corresponding authors in different research cultures Sonia Vasconcelos, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro O-122 Do the updated ICMJE authorship criteria make a difference? Tamara Bates Anic, Bates Clinic, Zagreb
16:45 – 17:00 Foyer	Break
Plenary H 17:00 – 18:00 Grand Hall	Concluding plenary Chair: Lex Bouter Report on focus tracks on 'Ensuring integrity in innovation and impact' Maura Hiney, Health Research Board Ireland, Dublin Report on progress with Registry for Research on the Responsible Conduct of Research Klaas Sijtsma, Tilburg University, Tilburg The Hong Kong Manifesto for Assessing Researchers: fostering research integrity David Moher, University of Ottawa; and Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa; and Paul Glasziou, Bond University, Gold Coast Announcement of presentation awards for early-career scholars Sonia Vasconcelos, Federal Univeristy of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro Invitation to the 7th World Conference on Research Integrity Farewell by Chair



POSTER AND PRESENTATION AWARDS

To encourage the active participation of junior scholars in the 6th WCRI, 10 poster and presentation awards for early-career scholars have been established. Individuals who have completed their most recent graduate training within the last 10 years will be considered as early-career scholars, i.e. completed their Master's or Doctoral degrees between 2008 and 2018. Abstracts submitted by early-career scholars that are accepted for poster or oral presentation will be considered eligible for the awards.

In each category, a first-place award will be granted (US \$2,000), along with 4 second-place prizes (US \$1,200 each) in each category. The poster awards will be announced during the conference dinner on June 4, 2019. The presentation awards will be announced at the concluding plenary on June 5, 2019. The awards are supported by a grant from the Office of Research Integrity, Washington.



LIST OF POSTERS

Assessment 1

Chair: Sonia Vasconcelos

- PM-001 Knowledge and attitude of dental professionals toward plagiarism in Mangalore city, India**
Eby Aluckal, Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam, Ernakulam
- PM-002 Research integrity – a study case on Romania**
Octavian Andronic, The University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila Bucharest Romania, Bucuresti
- PM-004 Are the professions a model for science? The issue of competence**
Hugh Desmond, KU Leuven, Leuven
- PM-005 What are the barriers to research? A nationwide institutional assessment of faculty working in medical colleges in India**
Vishal Dogra, Piramal Swasthya Management and Research Institute, Hyderabad
- PM-006 Disciplinary specific detrimental research practices in the Dutch academic setting – results from a focus group study**
Gowri Gopalakrishna, Vrije University Medical Centre, Amsterdam
- PM-007 Assessing and raising concerns about duplicate data publication, authorship misconduct and data errors in preclinical research reported by researchers with multiple retractions of clinical research publications**
Andrew Grey, University of Auckland, Auckland
- PM-008 A different research world : lessons learnt from Europe for fostering equity based research in developing countries like Pakistan**
Mariam Hassan, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Lahore
- PM-009 Who are we? A descriptive analysis of research integrity personnel in the UK**
Patricia Henley, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London
- PM-010 Improving research integrity in a hospital in the developing country by a semi-independent clinical research unit: a preliminary qualitative study**
Dominicus Husada, Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya
- PM-011 Fuzzy-based multi-criteria decision making algorithm: an innovative tool for research institutions for evaluation**
Vijeta Jha, Samvad Partners, Chennai
- PM-012 Mechanisms of robust, innovative and translational research: introduction of new, responsible research indicators (QUEST criteria) for the institutional assessment of researchers (MERIT)**
Miriam Kip, Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin

Assessment 2

Chair: Elizabeth Heitman

- PT-013 Gaps in ethical literacy in research among medical professionals in India : a mixed method study**
Charu Kohli, North Delhi Municipal Corporation Medical College and Hindu Rao Hospital, Delhi

- PT-014 Development of research integrity in France has been very slow: has the introduction of research integrity officers since 2015 made a difference?**
Hervé Maisonneuve, H2MW, Paris
- PT-015 Climate for research integrity at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore**
Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split
- PT-016 Successes and challenges of implementing new policy for promoting responsible conduct of research at the University of Botswana**
Dimpho Ralefala, University of Botswana, Gaborone
- PT-017 Open data in biomedical research publications: a new responsible research metric to allocate performance-oriented funding at Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin**
Nico Riedel, QUEST – Center for Transforming Biomedical Research, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin
- PT-018 Awareness of scientific misconduct among health care professionals**
Pooja Shetty, AJ Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore
- PT-019 Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice of pharmacy professionals and students towards plagiarism of scientific publications in Raipur city, India – a questionnaire study**
Deepika Singh, SHUATS, Naini, Allahabad
- PT-020 Plagiarism in arts – aspects, similarities and boundaries**
Karl-Gerhard Strassl and Martina Baravalle, University of Music and Performing Arts Vienna, Vienna
- PT-021 Intercultural differences in response and reciprocation in central Asian and European patients with acute stroke: data of the SITS-Stroke Registry in Kyrgyzstan**
Aishoola Sultanova, Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Bishkek
- PT-022 Children in phase I trials in oncology: social value and clinical development success rate. A systematic review**
Marcin Waligora, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków
- PT-023 The research about the awareness of medical research management personnel on research integrity and relevant management status**
Pengjun Zhang, Beijing Hospital, Beijing
- PT-024 Optimizing the responsible researcher? A comparative study of practices of research assessment and ideals of responsible research at two biomedical institutions**
Jochem Zuijderwijk, Leiden University, Leiden

Education/new approaches 1

Chair: Zoë Hammatt

- PM-025 The use of coaches to optimize research ethics and integrity**
Katrina Bramstedt, Luxembourg Agency for Research Integrity, Esch-sur-Alzette
- PM-026 Luxembourg gets creative with the CAPRI model of research ethics and integrity education**
Katrina Bramstedt, Luxembourg Agency for Research Integrity, Esch-sur-Alzette
- PM-027 The European Network of Research Ethics and Research Integrity (ENERI)**
Birgit Buschbom, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Vienna
- PM-028 The new Greek law for the operation of research ethics and deontology committees: context and challenges**
Costas Charitidis, National Technical University of Athens, Athens

- PM-029 Fostering a positive approach to promote responsible conduct of research with a playful on-line awareness tool**
Mylène Deschênes, The Quebec Research Funds, Montreal
- PM-030 Research integrity: the responsibility of academic and clinical education**
Sandra F. Gomes, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto
- PM-031 Meta-analysis: a missing component of RCR curricula and ethics studies of research methods**
Kenneth Goodman, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami
- PM-032 Building a new health research ethics structure and system after a negative audit: the long journey to recovery**
Minrie Greeff, North-West University, Potchefstroom
- PM-033 Ethics, theatre, and science: cross disciplinary fostering of ethics and science conversations**
Michael Kalichman, UC San Diego, San Diego
- PM-034 Promoting research integrity in Africa: the moral responsibilities of research institutions toward research frontline staff**
Francis Kombe, African Research Integrity Network, Kilifi
- PM-035 Research education and scholarly publishing: use of case approaches to improve engagement**
Simon Linacre, Cabells, Keighley

Education/new approaches 2

Chair: Guochen Jia

- PT-036 Expert strategies on research integrity problem-solving**
Erika Löfström, University of Helsinki, Helsinki
- PT-037 Ethics in the creative process: exploring ethical framing and timing on ethical and creative outcomes**
Alexandra MacDougall, Central Michigan University, Midland
- PT-038 A roadmap for the “Standard Operating Procedures for Research Integrity” (SOPs4RI) project**
Niels Mejlgaard, Aarhus University, Aarhus
- PT-039 Integrating Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) into regional policy**
Nhien Nguyen, Nordland Research Institute, Bodø
- PT-040 The experimental design assistant: an interactive web-based tool to provide bespoke feedback on experimental plans.**
Nathalie Percie du Sert, NC3Rs, London
- PT-041 Responsible conduct of research education should include issues of race and gender**
Lisa Rasmussen, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
- PT-042 Creating research environments that ensure genomic research integrity**
Wayne Towers, North-West University (Potchefstroom campus), Potchefstroom
- PT-043 INTEGRITY: searching building blocks for a teaching philosophy on RCR**
Mariëtte van den Hoven, Utrecht University, Utrecht
- PT-044 Research integrity framework in Nanyang Technological University (NTU) for the promotion of responsible conduct of research**
Timothy White, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

- PT-045 Institutional responsibilities and strategies for responsible conduct of human subject research: experiences and lessons learned at Peking University**
Haihong Zhang, Peking University, Beijing

Education and training 1

Chair: Roxana Lescano

- PM-046 Fostering research integrity in future researchers through discipline-tailored RCR courses for PhD students**
Lone Bredahl Jensen, University of Southern Denmark, Odense
- PM-047 A survey on fostering research integrity in Chinese universities**
Wang Fei, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian
- PM-048 Research integrity course for PhD candidates at Tilburg University**
Jan Jans, Tilburg University, Tilburg
- PM-049 Mainstreaming research ethics and integrity training as part of research education**
Laetus Lategan, Central University of Technology, Bloemfontein
- PM-050 How may I teach you something if I do not know what and how to do it? Evaluating existing research integrity training programs**
Daniel Pizzolato, KU Leuven, Leuven
- PM-051 Building a responsible conduct of research (RCR) training programme: a perspective from the University of Cape Town**
Paula Saner, University of Cape Town, Cape Town
- PM-052 Education concept on research integrity in governmental research institute in Switzerland**
Helmut Schiff, Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), Villigen

Education and training 2

Chair: Benny Zee

- PT-053 Phronesis: a crucial decision-making skill for researchers conducting community research**
Minrie Greeff, North-West University, Potchefstroom
- PT-054 Responsible research and innovation training programs: implementation and evaluation**
Ružica Tokalić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split
- PT-055 Identification of essential virtues addressed in ethics and research integrity training: a scoping review**
Vicko Tomić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split
- PT-056 Designing and implementing research integrity online training**
Anne Walsh, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane
- PT-057 Training early career scientists to use meta-research to understand and solve problems: a participant-guided “Learn by Doing” approach**
Tracey Weissgerber, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin
- PT-058 Using training to positively reinforce best practice in research**
Catherine Winchester, CRUK Beatson Institute, Glasgow

- PT-059 The education of responsible conduct of research for the students in both general and medical universities**
Akinori Yamabe, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi

Investigating research misconduct 1

Chair: Michael Kalichman

- PM-060 Lessons learned from a misconduct case with deception and obstructive efforts**
Ranjinidevi Ambalavanar, Office of Research Integrity, Rockville
- PM-061 Analysis of research misconduct in various academic programs of universities in Nepal**
Suresh Baral, Pokhara University, Kaski
- PM-062 Hyperprolific authorships: lessons learnt from the investigation of a research misconduct case**
Annette Birkeland, The Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Oslo
- PM-063 Increasing listing of journals on the ICMJE website: is it a trap to attract more submissions?**
Pravin Bolshete, Sqarona Medical Communications LLP, Navi Mumbai
- PM-065 Selective citation in the published literature on the association between diesel exhaust exposure and lung cancer risk: a citation analysis**
Bram Duyx, Maastricht University, Maastricht
- PM-066 Confronting research misconduct in unregulated research**
Lisa Rasmussen, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
- PM-067 Do papers report information needed to assess the risk of bias?**
A systematic review of papers using common animal models to study preeclampsia
Tracey Weissgerber, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin
- PM-068 A question of seriousness: measuring integrity breaches in an Australian context**
Rebecca Halligan, University of Sydney, Sydney
- PM-069 When research misconduct involves potential criminal behavior: new collaboration strengthens protection of US biomedical research funding**
Wanda Jones, HHS Office of Research Integrity, Rockville
- PM-070 Managing research misconduct: the European Research Council (ERC) – strategy and practice**
Laura Pontiggia, European Research Council, Brussels
- PM-071 Bibliometric and linguistic analysis of research integrity and research ethics concepts in articles: a cross sectional study of three bibliographical databases**
Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

Investigating research misconduct 2

Chair: Nicole Föger

- PT-072 Self-reported and observed breaches of FFP and QRP among Norwegian researchers**
Matthias Kaiser, University of Bergen, Bergen
- PT-073 Identifying potential research integrity risks through bibliometric analysis of institutional review board cases**
Michael Khor, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

- PT-074 Research misconduct in doctoral theses in China: types, causes and governance**
Zi-yu LIU, China Agricultural University, Beijing
- PT-075 Virtue and vice in the prevention of research misconduct**
David Misselbrook, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland Bahrain, Manama
- PT-076 Factors influencing understanding of informed consent among people living infected HIV (PLHIV) in pharmacogenetic research: a case study of Infectious Diseases Institute, Uganda**
Sylvia Nabukenya, Infectious Diseases Institute, Kampala
- PT-077 Development of a list of 'Points of Consideration' for standardizing investigation of research misconduct in Japan**
Rei Nouchi, Shinshu University, Matsumoto
- PT-078 A toolkit for promoting responsible conduct in research-creation (RCRC)**
Cynthia Noury, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), Montréal
- PT-079 Research integrity in the wake of misconduct: a multifaceted, center-wide effort**
Christian Simon, Duke University, Durham
- PT-080 Spin and outcome reporting bias in randomized controlled trials of psychological interventions on depression**
Marlene Stoll, Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz
- PT-082 Parallel systems of accountability for research misconduct**
John Thomas, Healy Hafemann Magee, Roanoke
- PT-083 Chinese large-scale withdrawal and challenges for global periodical governance**
Yang Wang, Huaqiao University, Xiamen

Perceptions/attitudes 1

Chair: Francis Kombe

- PM-084 The public comment period of state medicaid guidance: who speaks?**
Rosa Ahn, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland
- PM-085 Dishonesty in research: reflections of doctoral students in selected universities in southwest Nigeria**
Taofeek Kolawole Aliyu, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
- PM-086 Are success indicators threatening integrity? Results from interviews and focus groups with diverse research actors**
Noémie Aubert Bonn, Hasselt University, Hasselt
- PM-087 Exploring the experiences of undergraduate students to research ethic misconduct in a Nigerian university**
Tolulope Adetayo Fajobi, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
- PM-088 Dishonest marking of scientific research fund projects in papers: a serious behavior that should be recognized as academic misconduct**
Lei Han, Shandong Medical Journal, Jinan
- PM-089 Perceptions of research integrity climate differ between academic ranks and disciplinary fields - results from a survey among academic researchers in Amsterdam**
Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam

- PM-090 Ethical perspectives in use of personal data from medical records for health research**
Vijaya Hegde, A.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore
- PM-091 More equal than others? An annoying habit**
Markus Heinemann, Mainz University Hospital, Mainz
- PM-093 Knowledge and attitude of Ethics Committee (EC) members regarding bioethics**
Shamima Lasker, MH Somorita Medical College, Dhaka
- PM-094 Associations between certain factors and attitudes with self-reported episodes of research misconduct**
Henry Silverman, University of Maryland, Baltimore
- PM-095 Perspectives of key stakeholders on essential virtues for good scientific practice in research**
Vicko Tomić, University of Split School of Medicine, Split

Perceptions/attitudes 2

Chair: Danny Chan

- PT-096 Political and economic considerations on research integrity : a vision from Latin American countries**
Sergio Litewka, University of Miami, Miami
- PT-097 The research survey for NSTDA research integrity**
Thanyathon Niyomthai, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Bangkok
- PT-098 Perceptions regarding clinical trials among ethical committee members of ayurvedic institutions of India: an exploratory study**
Preethi Poonja, A.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Mangalore
- PT-099 Scientific misconduct: everyone's problem? Proposal to promote research integrity in the University**
Estela Quiroz, Federico Villarreal National University, Lima
- PT-100 An online survey highlighting research practices among doctors in India**
Akanksha Rath, Dr Baba Saheb Ambedkar Medical College & Hospital, New Delhi
- PT-101 Research misconduct: a global concern**
Debra Schaller-Demers, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York
- PT-102 Media and social media attention to retracted articles according to Altmetric**
Stylianios Serghiou, Stanford University, Stanford
- PT-103 Exploring the attitudes of engineering faculty members and students towards plagiarism of scientific publication in Uttar Pradesh state, India: a questionnaire & cross sectional survey study**
Manvendra Singh, HMFA MIET, Abdul Kalam Technical University, Handia, Allahabad
- PT-104 Student perspectives on research integrity training as expressed in embedded poll questions**
Nicholas H. Steneck, Retired, Ann Arbor
- PT-105 A cross-institutional survey on research integrity among research postgraduate students in Hong Kong and Taiwan**
Wai Lan Tsang, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- PT-106 Research ethics and research integrity: a systematic review of published cases**
Anna Catharina Vieira Armond, University of Debrecen, Debrecen

- PT-107 A survey of tertiary hospital new employees' awareness and attitude on scientific integrity**
Lingling Yu, Beijing Hospital, Beijing

Publication ethics/reproducibility 1

Chair: Chris Graf

- PM-108 Equal co-lead authorship: a(nother) reason for contributor listings**
Samuel Bruton, The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg
- PM-109 Ignorance and abuse of authorship criteria have increased over 15 years**
Evelyne Decullier, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon
- PM-110 Mass pre-registered replications project of classic findings in judgment and decision-making**
Gilad Feldman, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- PM-111 With great CRediT comes great responsibility: researcher response to CRediT across >200 Elsevier journals in all research disciplines**
Catriona Fennell, Elsevier, Amsterdam
- PM-112 Editorial advice did not improve poor statistical reporting, inadequate data presentation and spin in two major biomedical journals**
Simon Gandevia, Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney
- PM-113 A systematic review of literature on ethical issues in scientific authorship, mentioned causes and offered solutions**
Mohammad Hosseini, Dublin City University, Dublin
- PM-114 Gender and publication statistics, institutional bibliometric analysis in Dublin City University**
Mohammad Hosseini, Dublin City University, Dublin
- PM-115 Repeating probability of authors with retracted scientific publications**
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo
- PM-116 Impact of editorial and peer review on study limitation reporting in randomised trial reports**
Gerben Ter Riet, Amsterdam University Medical Center & Univ. Applied Sciences, Amsterdam
- PM-117 Do journals instruct authors on research integrity topics? A cross-sectional study across all disciplines**
Gerben ter Riet, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam
- PM-118 Publishing ethics in developing countries: education, awareness and norms**
Helen Yuehong Zhang, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou
- PM-119 Reporting research integrity concerns to institutions at an open access journal publisher**
Matt Hodgkinson, Hindawi, London

Publication ethics/reproducibility 2

Chair: Sergio Litewka

- PT-120 Early stage publication strategies: will they work?**
Lone Bredahl Jensen, University of Southern Denmark, Odense
- PT-121 Abstract reporting bias: how our preference to report positive findings in an abstract could bias systematic reviews**
Bram Duyx, Maastricht University, Maastricht

- PT-122** **Publication pressure among academic researchers in Amsterdam**
Tamarinde Haven, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam
- PT-123** **Increasing reproducibility – recommendations by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Foundation)**
Kirsten Hüttemann, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Bonn
- PT-124** **Epistemic and sectoral differences in the valuation of ownership and authorship**
Peter Lutz, Maastricht University, Maastricht
- PT-125** **Trends in text-overlap: a publisher's perspective**
Gearóid Ó Faoleán, Frontiers, Lausanne
- PT-126** **Plagiarism in biomedical and social science papers of one Colombian university**
Juan Guillermo Pérez, Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá
- PT-127** **The Null Hypothesis Initiative – promoting write up and publication of negative, inconclusive and replication studies**
Sandra Petty, Center for Biomedical Research Transparency, New York
- PT-128** **The frequency of scientific plagiarism measured by a text matching software: a systematic review and meta-analysis**
Vanja Pupovac, University of Rijeka, Rijeka
- PT-129** **African biomedical journals: survey of policies and author guidelines**
Anke Rohwer, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town
- PT-130** **Privacy issues and citation from non-academic online sources: a case study of the online flaming incident in Japan**
Reina Saijo, Kyoto University of Art and Design, Kyoto
- PT-131** **Retraction: the “other face” of research collaboration**
Li Tang, Fudan University, Shanghai
- PT-132** **Selective citation is present in epidemiological studies on phthalates: a citation analysis**
Miriam Urlings, Maastricht University, Maastricht

Tackling unethical practices 1

Chair: Iekuni Ichikawa

- PM-133** **Evidence pyramid on the verge of collapse: a systematic review and meta-analysis iatrogenics**
Sivakumar Arunachalam, International Medical University, Kuala Lumpur
- PM-134** **Can presentation vocabulary influence the perception of research misconduct: a randomized study**
Ivan Buljan, University of Split School of Medicine, Split
- PM-135** **Reflections on handling research misconduct complaints: the HKU experience**
Bethany Chan, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- PM-136** **GOT-IT – new guidelines on target validation for innovative therapeutics**
Christoph Emmerich, PAASP GmbH, Heidelberg
- PM-137** **The psychological predictors (motivations) support opinion of researchers to make fake in scientific works**
Eduard Iskhakov, Ufa Law Institute, Ufa

- PM-138 Standardizing the ethical management of clinical research papers published in Chinese pediatric academic journals**
Yulian Jiang, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu
- PM-139 Best practices in management of research integrity: lessons from a South African university**
Eunice Karanja Kamaara, Moi University, Eldoret
- PM-140 Stemming the tide of plagiarism in Nigeria: advocacy by the Nigerian Young Academy**
Mutiu Idowu Kazeem, Lagos State University, Lagos
- PM-141 Research misconduct in medical profession in India: reasons and solutions**
Charu Kohli, North Delhi Municipal Corporation Medical College and Hindu Rao Hospital, Delhi
- PM-142 Impact of China's new policy on strengthening research integrity**
Xiaoyong Shi, National Center for Science and Technology Evaluation of China, Beijing
- PM-143 How differently do we define and handle research misconducts?**
Dan Li, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels

Tackling unethical practices 2

Chair: Ana Marušić

- PT-144 New classification of research misconduct from the viewpoint of betrayal of truth and trust**
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo
- PT-145 Twelve countermeasures to research misconduct at the levels of researchers, laboratories, organizations and society**
Toshio Kuroki, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo
- PT-146 Potential harms/ risks in implementation research in Papua New Guinea**
Helen Palik, Universitas Gadjah Madah, Jogja
- PT-147 The state of the global discussion on questionable research practices: a bibliometric analysis**
Jesper Schneider, Aarhus University, Aarhus
- PT-148 Authorship: professional, ethical and operational issues**
Lalit Sharma, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Solan
- PT-149 Publish or perish: research integrity in Indian academics**
Udaya Pratap Singh, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad
- PT-150 Statistical integrity in biomedical research – a questionable practice/conduct**
Ravinder Kumar Soni, Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana
- PT-151 Managing research misconduct: national institutional structures for research integrity in 23 countries in Europe with a comparison of the Austrian and Luxembourgish structures**
Engeline Grace Van Arkel, ENRIO / ENERI, Amsterdam
- PT-152 Interventions for ethical climate: a scoping review**
Marin Vidak, University of Split School of Medicine, Split
- PT-153 Internal versus external approaches for research integrity program evaluation: reflections following a self-initiated review**
Shannon Smith, Macquarie University, Sydney
- PT-154 Why are undergraduates vulnerable on academic integrity violations in Taiwan? Poor writing skills may be the key**
Zhen Rong Gan, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan

Transparency 1

Chair: Susan Zimmerman

- PM-155 Did awarding badges increase data sharing at BMJ Open? A randomised controlled trial**
Adrian Aldcroft, BMJ Open, London
- PM-156 A French new agency, the French Office of Scientific Integrity (OFIS)**
Joëlle Alnot, HCERES, Paris
- PM-157 Scientific integrity and animal welfare: status of animal research legislation in South America**
Noelia Angulo, US Naval Medical Research Unit 6, Lima
- PM-158 Research integrity components in ethics codes, a review of Peruvian universities**
Mariela Dejo, Universidad de Lima, Lima
- PM-159 The Hong Kong shareability of Hong Kong research experiment**
Scott Edmunds, GigaScience/BGI Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- PM-160 Research data management – does one funding regulation fit all?
Experiences of the German Research Foundation (DFG)**
Andreas Goerlich, German Research Foundation (DFG), Bonn
- PM-161 Implementation of Data Management Planning (DMP) as a key tool in the promotion of good research practice**
Su Nee Goh, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
- PM-162 Chinese-European research collaborations and the protection of personal data**
Heidi Østbø Haugen, The Norwegian National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities (NESH), Oslo/Guangzhou
- PM-163 Endorsement of financial conflict of interest disclosure by peer-reviewers in China: a survey of 2,130 reviewers from Chinese Medical Association Publishing House**
Yuanyuan Ji, Chinese Medical Association, Beijing
- PM-164 Beyond the dual use divide – strengthening Europe's innovation potential**
Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens, Athens
- PM-165 How regulation-compliant informed consent processes misinform research participants**
Mark Yarborough, University of California Davis, Sacramento
- PM-166 With what frequency do research ethics review committees deserve the public's trust? The evidence suggests that too often that trust is misplaced**
Mark Yarborough, University of California Davis, Sacramento

Transparency 2

Chair: In Jae Lee

- PT-167 Improvement of a transparency indicator for tracking clinical trials' publications**
Evelyne Decullier, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon
- PT-168 Clinical innovation practices and research integrity at Macquarie University**
Yordanka Krastev, Macquarie University, Sydney
- PT-169 ILSI's journey in scientific integrity**
Alison Kretser, ILSI North America, Washington
- PT-170 Concept analysis of shared decision-making**
Tingru Lin, National Taipei University of Nursing and Health Sciences, Taipei

- PT-171 Advantages in conducting research using the first innovative electronic stroke registry SITS in Kyrgyzstan**
Inna Lutsenko, Kyrgyz State Medical Academy, Bishkek
- PT-172 Automated tools for increasing transparency and openness of funding**
Mario Malicki, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam
- PT-173 Reporting of ethics committee approval and consent in two Indian dermatology journals**
Madhulika Malode, Sqarona Medical Communications LLP, Navi Mumbai
- PT-174 Should research ethics committees deliberate on societal implication of research?**
Rune Nydal, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim
- PT-175 Promoting a culture of research integrity in low- and middle-income countries: a case study in Myanmar**
Henry Silverman, University of Maryland, Baltimore
- PT-176 Effect of sponsorship on dental implant trials**
Indumathi Sivakumar, SEGi University, Petaling Jaya
- PT-177 Tools for improving transparency of published articles**
Xiao SiZhe, GigaScience, Hong Kong
- PT-178 Enabling research transparency via discipline specific support – reports from the TU Delft data stewardship**
Yan Wang, Delft University of Technology, Delft
-



AUTHOR INDEX

- Acuna, E., Daniel, O-115
Adnan, S., Shayuti, SY8
Ahn, R.Y.A., Rosa, PM-084
Aldcroft, A.A., Adrian, PM-155
Ali, Y.A., Yusuf, O-091
Aliyu, T.K., Taofeek Kolawole, PM-085
Allum, N., Nick, O-030
Alnot, J., Joëlle, PM-156
Aluckal, E.A., Eby, PM-001
Alviar, N., Nomar, O-041
Amaral, B., Olavo, O-111
Ambalavanar, R.A., Ranjinidevi, PM-060
Anderson, B., Rick, O-064
Andronic, O., Octavian, PM-002
Angulo, N.A., Noelia, PM-157
Arrison, T., Tom, O-108
Arunachalam, S.A., Sivakumar, PM-133
Ashworth, P., Peta, SY9
Asif, F.A., Farah, O-093
Aubert Bonn, N., Noémie, PM-086
Avenell, A., Alison, O-028
Bachelet, V.C., Vivienne C., O-068
Baleztena, I.B., Ignacio, O-062
Baral, S.B., Suresh, PM-061
Baravalle, M.B., Martina, O-063, PT-020
Barbour, G., Ginny, SY7
Baronikova, S., Slavka, SY10
Barr, J., Jillian, O-013, SY1
Barr, P., Daniel, O-034
Bates Anic, Z., Tamara, O-122
Beck, T.J., Thorsten, O-116
Birkeland, A., Annette, PM-062
Bolshete, P.M., Pravin, PM-063
Bramstedt, K.A., Katrina, PM-025, PM-026
Bredahl, Jensen L., Lone, PM-046, PT-120
Bretag, T., Tracey, SY2
Browman, H., Howard SY3
Bruton, V., Samuel, O-079, PM-108
Buljan, I.B., Ivan, PM-134
Buschbom, B., Birgit, PM-027
Byrne, J.A., Jennifer, O-117
Chan, M.Y., Bethany, PM-135
Charitidis, C.C., Costas, PM-028
Chau, D.C., De-Ming, O-058
Chou, C., Chien, O-008
Christopher, J.C., Jana, O-113
Czesnick, H.C., Hjördis, O-082
de Boer, M.R., Michiel, O-086
De Vries, R.G., Raymond, O-083
Decullier, E., Evelynne, PM-109, PT-167
Dejo, D.M., Mariela, PM-158
Deschênes, M.D., Mylène, PM-029
Desmond, H.D., Hugh, O-012, PM-004
Dogra, V.D., Vishal, PM-005
Douglas-Jones, R.C., Rachel, O-015
Duque Ortiz, D.D.O., Deyanira, O-038
Duyx, B., Bram, PM-065, PT-121
Edmunds, C., Scott, PM-159
Emmerich, C.E., Christoph, PM-136
Erkens, Y., Yvonne, SY5
Evans, N., Natalie, O-035
Fajobi, T.A., Tolulope Adetayo, PM-087
Farley, S., Suzanne, SY10
Fei, F., Wang, PM-047
Fekken, G.C., Geziena Cynthia, O-014
Feldman, G.F., Gilad, PM-110
Felt, U., Ulrike, O-044
Fennell, C., Catriona, O-101, PM-111
Fong, E., Eric, O-067
Freitag, S., Sharon, O-088
Garfinkel, M., Michele, SY4
Gandevia, C., Simon, PM-112
Gan, Z.R., Zhen Rong, PT-154
Gans Combe, S., Caroline, SY6
Garner, R., Harold, O-100
Goble, A., Dorota, O-011, SY10
Goerlich, A., Andreas, PM-160
Goh, S.N., Su Nee, PM-161
Gomes, S. F. Gomes., Sandra F., PM-030
Gommel, M., Michael, O-078

Goodman, K.W., Kenneth, PM-031
 Gopalakrishna, G., Gowri, O-096, PM-006
 Greeff, M., Minrie, PM-032, PT-053
 Grey, B., Andrew, O-076, PM-007
 Grimm, T., Tobias, O-112
 Guo, J., Jianquan, SY4
 Halligan, R., Rebecca, O-069, PM-068
 Han, H.L., Lei, PM-088
 Hassan, M.H., Mariam, PM-008
 Haugen, H.O., Heidi Østbø, PM-162
 Haven, T.L., Tamarinde, O-054, PM-089, PT-122
 Hegde, V.H., Vijaya, PM-090
 Heinemann, K., Markus, PM-091
 Henley, P.C., Patricia, PM-009
 Herder, R., Matthew, O-025
 Hiney, M., Maura, SY7
 Hjellbrekke, J.H.J., Johannes, O-070
 Hoch, V., Renee, O-114
 Hodgkinson, M.J., Matt, PM-119
 Hoffman, D., Jerry, O-018
 Hofmann, M., Bjorn, O-009
 Hol, T., Ton, SY1
 Holm, S., Søren, O-001
 Horn, L.M., Lyn, O-110
 Hosseini, M.H., Mohammad, PM-113, PM-114
 Hunter, I., Christopher, O-090
 Husada, D.H., Dominicus, PM-010
 Hüttemann, K.H., Kirsten, PT-123
 Huybers, T., Twan, O-006
 Imperiale, M., Michael, SY4
 Ingierd, H.I., Helene, O-103
 Inglis, J., John, SY3
 Inguaggiato, G., Giulia, O-105
 Iseda, T.I., Tetsuji, O-003
 Iskhakov, R., Eduard, PM-137
 Jans, J.M.N.E., Jan, PM-048
 Janssens, M.J.P.A., Rien, O-084
 Jantti, M., Margie, SY7
 Jeronicic, A.J., Ana, O-098
 Jha, V.J., Vijeta, PM-011

Ji, J.Y.Y., Yuanyuan, PM-163
 Jiang, Y.L., Yulian, PM-138
 Johnson, J., Joanna, O-043
 Jones, K., Wanda, PM-069
 Kaiser, M.K., Matthias, O-057, PT-072
 Kalichman, M.K., Michael, O-059, PM-033
 Kamaara, N., Eunice Karanja, PM-139
 Karatzas, I., Isidoros, SY6, SY9
 Kavouras, P.K., Panagiotis, PM-164, SY9
 Kazeem, M.I., Mutiu Idowu, PM-140
 Khor, K.A., Michael, O-099, PT-073
 Kilicoglu, H., Halil, O-026
 Kip, M., Miriam, PM-012
 Kohli, C.K., Charu, PM-141, PT-013
 Kole, J.J., Jos, O-051
 Kombe, F.K., Francis, PM-034, SY9
 Konovalov, B., Sergey, O-037
 Krastev, Y.K., Yordanka, PT-168
 Kretser, A.J., Alison, PT-169
 Kuroki, T.K., Toshio, PM-115, PT-144, PT-145
 Lamberink, H.J., Herm, O-027, O-029
 Lane, T., Trevor, SY2
 Lasker, S.P. Lasker, Shamima, PM-093
 Lategan, L.O.K., Laetus, PM-049
 Leduc, M., Michele, O-089
 Leung, F.K.S., Frederick, SY7
 Leung, S., Siu-wai, SY2
 Li, L.D., Dan, PM-143
 Li, R., Rebecca, SY10
 Liesegang, L., Lydia, O-073
 Lin, T.R., Tingru, PT-170
 Linacre, S., Simon, PM-035
 Litewka, G., Sergio, PT-096
 LIU, Z.Y., Zi-yu, PT-074
 Löfström, E., Erika, PT-036
 Luepongpatana, S.L., Sudarat, O-061
 Lutsenko, I.L., Inna, PT-171
 Lutz, P.L., Peter, PT-124
 MacDougall, A. E., Alexandra, PT-037
 Macor, G.A., Guillaume, O-024

Mahmood, F.M., Fahad, O-004
 Maisonneuve, H., Hervé, PT-014
 Malicki, M.M., Mario, O-092, PT-172
 Malode, M.M., Madhulika, PT-173
 Marsh, J., Joan, O-119
 Marusic, A.M., Ana, PM-071, PT-015
 Matsau, L., Liapeng, O-075
 McLeskey, C., Chet, O-005
 Mejlgaard, N., Niels, PT-038
 Misselbrook, D., David, PT-075
 Moylan, E., Elizabeth, SY8
 Nabukenya, S.N., Sylvia, PT-076
 Nguyen, N., Nhien, PT-039
 Niyomthai, T.N., Thanyathon, PT-097
 Nmadu, J.N., Job, O-033
 Nolte, H., Helga, O-045
 Nouchi, R., Rei, PT-077
 Noury, C.N., Cynthia, PT-078
 Nydal, R.N., Rune, PT-174
 Ó Faoleán, G.ÓF., Gearóid, O-120, PT-125
 Ochsenfeld-Repp, S., Sonja, O-036
 Oransky, I., Ivan, SY5
 Palik, H.P., Helen, PT-146
 Pan, S.J., Sophia Jui-An, O-032
 Panchin, A.Y., Alexander, O-102
 Pavithran, P., Priya, SY10
 Pennock, T., Robert, O-020
 Percie du Sert, N., Nathalie, O-118, PT-040
 Pérez, J.G., Juan Guillermo, PT-126
 Petersen, M.B., Michael Bang, O-021, O-095
 Petty, J., Sandra, PT-127
 Pizzolato, D., Daniel, O-040, PM-050
 Plemmons, K., Dena, O-097
 Pontiggia, L.P., Laura, PM-070
 Poonja, P.A.P., Preethi, PT-098
 Pupovac, V.P., Vanja, PT-128
 Quiroz, E.M., Estela, PT-099
 Ralefala, D., Dimpho, PT-016
 Rasmussen, M., Lisa, PM-066, PT-041
 Rathi, A.R., Akanksha, PT-100
 Reisig, D., Michael, O-060
 Ribeiro, M.D., Mariana, O-077
 Riedel, N., Nico, PT-017
 Rohwer, A., Anke, PT-129
 Romyn, M., Donna, O-065
 Rosendaal, F., Frits, SY5
 Saijo, R., Reina, PT-130
 Sairio, A., Anni, O-047
 Saner, P., Paula, PM-051
 Schaller-Demers, D.S.D., Debra, PT-101
 Schiff, H., Helmut, PM-052
 Schmolmueller, A., Armin, O-107
 Schneider, J. W., Jesper, O-031, PT-147
 Seadle, M.S., Michael, O-106
 Serghiou, S.S., Stylianos, PT-102
 Sharma, L.S., Lalit, PT-148
 Shetty, P. S., Pooja, PT-018
 Shi, S.X., Xiaoyong, PM-142
 Shi, Y., Yu SY1
 Shila, S.A., Abdi, O-050
 Silverman, H.S., Henry, PM-094, PT-175
 Simon, C., Christian, O-049, PT-079
 Singh, M.S. Deepika, PT-019
 Singh, M.S., Manvendra, PT-103
 Singh, U.P., Udaya Pratap, PT-149
 Sivakumar, I.S., Indumathi, PT-176
 SiZhe, S.Z., Xiao, PT-177
 Smith, G., Graeme, SY8
 Smith, M., Shannon, PT-153
 Soni, R.K.S., Ravinder Kumar, PT-150
 Sørensen, M.P., Mads P., O-002
 Stavale, R. S., Rafaelly, O-055
 Stax, A.M., Annelein, O-023
 Steneck, N.H., Nicholas H, O-094, PT-104
 Stoll, M.S., Marlene, PT-080
 Strassl, K.G.S., Karl-Gerhard, O-063, PT-020
 Sultanova, A.S., Aishoola, PT-021
 Sweet, D., Debbie, SY3
 Tang, L.T., Li, PT-131
 ter Riet, G., Gerben, O-072, PM-116, PM-117
 ter Schure, J.A., Judith, O-085
 Thomas, J.R.T., John, O-071, PT-082

Tierney, H., Heather, SY3
Tijdink, J.K., Joeri, O-080
Titapiwatanakun, B.T., Boosaree, O-010
Toelch, U., Ulf, O-042
Tokalic, R., Ružica, O-104, PT-054
Tomić, V.T., Vicko, PM-095, PT-055
Towers, G.W., Wayne, PT-042
Tsang, W.L., Wai Lan, PT-105
Ulrich, K., Dirnagl, O-022
Urlings, M.J.E., Miriam, O-066, PT-132
Van Arkel, E.G., Engeline Grace, PT-151
van den Hoven, M.A., Mariëtte, PT-043
van Dongen, N.N.N., Noah, O-087
van Leeuwen, N., Thed, O-109
van Woudenberg, R., René, SY1
Vasconcelos, S.V., Sonia, O-121
Vidak, M., Marin, PT-152
Vieira Armond, A.C.V.A., Anna Catharina, PT-106
Vinther, T., Torkild, O-048
Vlassov, V.V., Vasiliy, O-053
Waligora, M., Marcin, PT-022
Walsh, A., Anne, PT-056
Wang, Y., Yan, PT-178
Wang, Y., Yang, PT-083
Weber-Wulff, D., Debora, O-019
Weissgerber, T.L., Tracey, PM-067, PT-057
Were, O., Edwin, O-052
White, T.J., Timothy, PT-044
Widdershoven, G., Guy, SY6
Winchester, L., Catherine, PT-058
Winstanley, L., Lisa, O-017
Woolley, K., Karen, SY10
Yamabe, A.Y., Akinori, PT-059
Yang, W.P., Weiping, O-039
Yarborough, A., Mark, PM-165, PM-166
Yi, N., Nannan, O-016
Yimtae, K., Kwanchanok, SY9
Yoder, W.R., Whitney, O-056
Yu, L., Lingling, PT-107
Zhang, H.Z., Haihong, PT-045, SY10
Zhang, P., Pengjun, PT-023

Zhang, Y.H., Helen Yuehong, PM-118
Zhao, Z.Y.D., Yandong, O-007
Zheng, Z., Zijian, SY8
Zuijderwijk, J.B., Jochem, O-046, O-074, PT-024
Zwart, H., Hub, SY6



SOCIAL PROGRAMME

Lion Dance at the End of Plenary A on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)

The opening plenary (Plenary A) will be followed by a short lion dance performance. In Chinese culture, the lion symbolizes wisdom and superiority. The lion dance mixes Chinese traditional dance and martial arts, and performers mimic a lion's movement in a lion costume to bring prosperity and good luck.

Welcome Reception on June 2, 2019 (Sunday)

Drinks and finger food will be served at the welcome reception, which will take place at 6pm in the foyer of the Grand Hall after Plenary A. A variety of traditional Chinese arts and crafts will be showcased in a cultural fair in rooms LG.08 and 09 adjacent to the foyer. Participants will also be able to taste classic Hong Kong snacks from some of the booths.

Banquet Dinner and Boat Trip on June 4, 2019 (Tuesday)

The banquet dinner will be held at the [Federal Cruise Banquet Centre, Kai Tak Cruise Terminal](#). The Kai Tak Cruise Terminal is built on the runway of the Kai Tak Airport, the former airport of Hong Kong (1925-1998). The terminal carries on the legacy of the “Kai Tak” name – an iconic symbol and a part of Hong Kong's heritage. Located in the middle of Hong Kong's magnificent Victoria Harbour, participants can enjoy an unobstructed panoramic view of the spectacular Hong Kong skyline from the viewing platform of the Rooftop Park above the Banquet Centre.

Experiencing Hong Kong's dazzling harbour on a traditional Hong Kong style ferry is one of the best ways to enjoy the magnificent views offered by the city's skyline. Participants may register to join the boat trip to the banquet dinner location. Coaches will be arranged to take participants from the conference venue to the pier, followed by a boat trip of around 50 minutes from the western side to the eastern side of Victoria Harbour. After the boat trip, a 15-minute walk is required from the pier to the dinner venue.

The vessels are insured (Third Party Liability), as required by the Hong Kong Marine Department. However, neither the ferry company nor the owner of the vessels is responsible for any personal injury or loss of personal belongings during the period of the use of the vessel. Participants are advised to arrange their own insurance. In case of bad weather, the boat trip will be cancelled and coaches will take the participants to the dinner venue direct.

For participants who will not join the boat trip, coaches will be arranged from the conference venue to the banquet dinner location*. You may also arrange your own transportation to go to the dinner venue. The instructions are provided at <http://www.kaitakcruiseterminal.com.hk/facilities/getting-here/>.

For participants and accompanying persons who have registered for the boat trip and/or banquet dinner, you will receive admission ticket(s) at the Registration Desk upon arrival. Please bring your ticket to join the boat trip and banquet dinner.

Time schedule

4:45 pm - 5:15 pm:	participants to board the coaches at HKU
5:15 pm - 5:30pm:	travel from HKU to pier
5:30 pm - 6:20 pm:	boat trip
6:20 pm - 6:35pm:	walk from pier to the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre
6:35 pm - 7:15pm:	cocktail reception
7:15 pm - 9:30pm:	banquet dinner
9:30 pm:	coaches depart from banquet dinner location to Hotel Jen/HKU MTR station

* Participants who have registered to go to the Federal Cruise Banquet Centre by coach have to gather near the Registration Desk at 5:30pm.





NOTES



NOTES



NOTES



NOTES

Lancet Conference Content Centre | 6th WCRI 2019

➤ www.thelancet.com/wcri19

To coincide with 6th WCRI 2019, *Lancet* Editors have handpicked a selection of content related to research integrity, which is freely accessible to read exclusively at www.thelancet.com/wcri19 through June 30, 2019.

The 6th WCRI Co-Chairs and Programme Committee thank all sponsors for their generous contributions.
(The sponsors are listed on pages 2 and 59.)

GOLD SPONSORS




THE LANCET

SILVER SPONSORS



WILEY

BRONZE SPONSORS



(in alphabetical order)

港鐵路綫圖 Hong Kong MTR system map



CONFERENCE SECRETARIAT

c/o Connexus Travel Ltd.

Tel: +852 3151-8900

Fax: +852 2590-0099

Email: wcric2019@hku.hk (general enquiry)

wcric2019@connexustravel.com (registration, abstract and hotel booking)

Website: <http://wcric2019.org/>

Twitter: <https://twitter.com/WCric2019>



wcric2019.org



香港大學
THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG



WORLD CONFERENCES
ON RESEARCH INTEGRITY



mehk Meetings & Exhibitions Hong Kong